

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PlanningPolicy](#)
Subject: WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection
Date: 02 March 2023 18:57:16

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

With regard to the above application I am deeply against it and object strongly to it as I find it completely unsound.

The impact on the environment with such increased levels of traffic will be hugely detrimental, as will so many extra houses. I also question the safety of such an increase of traffic on the A4, which is already seriously busy. It will also cause greater problems with the various roads accessing the A4 in the immediate area, which already face severe problems accessing it. With greater queues, which again will effect the environment badly.


Regarding healthcare all local practices are overstretched, there is a shortage of people applying to work in healthcare so this will cause an even greater burden on the local practices which are already struggling.

There appears to be no evidence to support claims that SP17 will have a positive effect on the environment. Neither can I see any evidence to seriously investigate and analyse the severe consequences of building so many houses on a greenfield site. It will affect the local wildlife and bird life, for which we are so lucky to enjoy. Lapwings are one example, their numbers are now increasing but with the proposed building their habitat will be badly affected and all the proposed upheaval will drive them out of the area.

The provision for extra schools to meet the anticipated demand is too vague and the amount of funding inadequate.

Consequently I believe that WBC should halt proceedings.

Prue Fenn



Sent from my iPhone