

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PlanningPolicy](#)
Subject: WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection
Date: 27 February 2023 17:55:58

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

My name is Jill Bunnett, I'm married to [REDACTED] and we live at [REDACTED] and I am objecting to the plan as I find it unsound.

Transport - Harts Hill is the direct route to Upper Bucklebury, Chapel Row and Bradfield and is a very busy road especially in the morning and late afternoon as people go to/from work and children to/from school. There is no footpath and the road is dangerous especially round the many bends. Latterly the lorries from the gravel pits often take up more than half the road, another hazard. How is it possible to justify a significantly huge transport increase, not to mention site traffic, with all the extra pollution it would bring.

Access - there are no plans to indicate the location of new priority junctions and what is the purpose of a car park? The ones on the Common promote antisocial behaviour causing much distress to those living in the vicinity.

Healthcare - when did you last try to make a face to face appointment to see a Doctor?
Surgeries and dentists in the area are at full capacity both of which would collapse under the huge influx of patients. It is apparent there has been no consultation with local healthcare providers either by the developers or the WBC. This issue must be addressed.

Environment - The site of the proposed development borders AONB and would have a very detrimental affect on the legally protected wildlife. At various times during the year there are sightings of deer, foxes, red kites, bats, a huge array of bird varieties and there is a crested newt in our pond. What will happen to these creatures? There are no plans to provide adequate green space and protecting biodiversity so access to adjacent areas will further add to traffic congestion, especially on Harts Hill.

I have seen no solution put forward to combat the problem of water drainage off the hill. After heavy and prolonged rain the bottom of the hill abutting Floral Way is saturated and not suitable for building on. The issue would be exacerbated by building roads, houses and driveways with nowhere for the water to go so flooding would be inevitable in some areas.

In conclusion, based on the objections above, I am of the opinion that the proposed development is ill conceived, unworkable and of maximum disadvantage to everyone.

Kind regards
Jill

Sent from my iPad