From: To:

Subject: Fwd: West Berks PVFF Representation **Date:** 31 January 2025 21:21:23

Attachments:

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

Please see attached representions on the Local Plan Review





West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039 (LPR) Consultation on Proposed Main Modifications (6 December 2024 – 31 January 2025)

Representation Form

Ref: (For official use only)

Please complete and	By email:
return this form:	By post: Planning Policy, Development and Housing, Council Offices, Market Street, Newbury, RG14 5LD
Return by:	11:59pm on Friday 31 January 2025

Please read the **Guidance Note**, available on the Council's website https://www.westberks.gov.uk/lpr-proposed-main-modifications, before making your representations.

This form has two parts:

PART A - Your details

PART B – Your representation(s)

Please complete a new form for each representation you wish to make.

PART A: Your details Please note the following: We cannot register your representation without your details. Representations cannot be kept confidential and will be available for public scrutiny, however, your contact details will not be published. 2. Agent's details (if applicable) 1. Your details Title Mr Benjamin First Name* Mitchell Last Name* Job title Trustee, Flood Risk Specialist (where relevant) Organisation Pang Valley Flood Forum (where relevant) River Barn Farm, Address*. Brocks Lane, Please include Marlston Hermitage, postcode Thatcham RG18 9UX Email address* Telephone number Consultee ID (if known)

^{*}Mandatory Field

PART B - Your representation(s)

All comments made at previous stages of the LPR have been taken into account by the Inspector and there is no need to resubmit these. Publication of the proposed Main Modifications is a regulatory stage and any representations made should relate specifically to the legal compliance and soundness of the proposed Main Modifications and should not relate to parts of the Plan that are not proposed to be modified.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change.

Your name or organisation (and client if you are an	Pang Valley Flood Forum
agent):	

Proposed Main Modifications and Proposed Changes to the Policies Map

1. Please indicate whether your representation relates to the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications or the Schedule of Proposed Changes to the Policies Map and provide the modification/change number you are commenting on below:

Document name	Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications
Modification/Change reference number (MM / PMC)	MM10

2. Do you consider the Proposed Main Modification or Proposed	Policy Map C	hange to be:
(please tick/mark 'X' one answer for a and one for b)		

a)	Legally compliant	Yes	No	Х
b)	Sound	Yes	No	х

Please refer to the guidance notes for a full explanation of 'legally compliant' and 'soundness'

If you consider the Proposed Main Modification or Proposed Policy Map Change not to be sound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to: (please tick/mark 'X' all that apply)

Positively Prepared: The LPR should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements.	x
Justified: the LPR should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives	
Effective: the LPR should be deliverable	x
Consistent with national policy: the LPR should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF	x

Please be as preci	ou are able to put forward your suggested se as possible.	Review legally compliant or sound. revised wording of any policy or tex
SEE ATTACHED	LETTER	
	the second of th	ment (SA/SEA)
nvironmental As	ny comments on the updated Sustainabi sessment Report – Proposed Main Mod sise as possible)	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Environmental As Please be as pred	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Invironmental As Please be as pred Page number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Page number Paragraph	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Page number Paragraph	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Environmental As Please be as pred Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Environmental As Please be as pred Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Environmental As Please be as pred Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic
Environmental As Please be as pred Page number Paragraph number	ssessment Report – Proposed Main Mod	lity Appraisal/Strategic

3. If you have answered 'No' to question 2a or 2b above, please provide details of why you consider the Proposed Main Modification or Proposed Policy Map Change is not legally compliant or is unsound, including any changes you consider necessary to make the Plan

legally compliant or sound.

Habitats Regulations Assessment

Page number							
age number			<u> </u>		W		
Paragraph number							
lumbei		,					
Comments:			- Andrews				A
							*
=					- Annual Control		
latification of D	rogress of the L	ocal Plan F	Paviaw				
	be notified of		following?				
olease lick/mark	'X' all that apply)	,					
	of the report of th	ne Inspector	annointed to	carry out	the exa	amination	T
The nublication	e report or tri		арроппоа то	oury out	110 0/10		
	U I I DI D						
The publication of	the Local Plan R	eview					
	the Local Plan R	eview				government in the second second second second	
The adoption of	at we have either	r an up-to-da	ate email add	dress or p	ostal ad	dress at v	which we ca
The adoption of lease ensure the		r an up-to-da r contact det	ails by loggi	ng onto yo	ostal ad our acco	dress at vo	which we ca e Local Pla

Your completed representations must be received by the Council by 11:59pm on Friday 31 January 2025.

Working collaboratively to reduce flood risk throughout the communities in the Pang Valley

River Barn Farm
Brocks Lane
Marlston Hermitage
Thatcham
RG18 9UX

31/01/2025

West Berkshire Council
Planning Policy
Development and Housing
Council Offices
Market Street
Newbury RG14 5LD

Dear Local Plan Review,

I understand from SP5/MM10 it is required that the developer will produce a surface water drainage strategy to deal with runoff from the site which will not exacerbate existing flood risk in Thatcham. In principle this is fairly standard stuff except that the only way that the strategy can truly be compliant is by creating a separate drainage route through to the Kennet...for which there is currently no provision I assume.

On site SuDS will maximise on reducing peak discharge to the existing 'green field' rate of discharge through storage systems with hopefully soakage facilities where possible. However, this does not address the increased volume of run off which will be stored and released after the storm. Recent experience shows us that storms can come in fairly quick succession, as has been the case over the past couple of weeks with Storms Darragh, Eowyn and Herminia. If the flood storage facilities are already full from the previous storm then flooding will result in Thatcham.

The recently constructed flood storage facilities along the foot of the hills to the north of Thatcham are designed to protect against a repeat of the 2007 event, if the green field slopes above these facilities are developed then there will no longer be any natural soakage into the underlying geology. This soakage ability cannot be replicated in small areas of concentrated soakage...hence the increase in volume of runoff. The available capacity in the surface water sewer and culverted watercourse system through Thatcham and on to the River Kennet is used up by the recently completed Surface Water Management Plan...the increased volume of runoff from proposed new development cannot be taken into this system without increasing flood risk in Thatcham. The proposed development is therefore not deliverable

Working collaboratively to reduce flood risk throughout the communities in the Pang Valley

unless a new route is found to take surface water away to the River Kennet. If a proposed development is undeliverable within the required performance criteria then it cannot be approved.

If the development is approved then, without a new drainage route to the River Kennet, the surface water drainage strategy will ultimately be a fudge necessitated by the need to deliver the scheme and this certainly will not be sustainable.

As the requirement for a surface water drainage strategy is included within the LPR aspects currently under consideration then a submission pointing out the above position would be allowable and valid.

I have a forty year career in flooding and surface water drainage during which time my expert evidence has prevailed at fifteen public inquiries. I have often appeared on national television news programmes to give my expert opinion and represented the British Property Federation on the UK Government's Policy Advisory Board for the SuDS standards following the Flood and Water Management Act 2010.

Benjamin Mitchell	